## DNA Analysis of Genetic Diversity of vetiver and future selections for use in erosion control

Robert P. Adams Plant Biotechnology Center Baylor University, P.O. Box 669 Gruver, TX 79040, USA

**Abstract**: Random Amplified Polymorphic DNAs (RAPDs) were used to examine accessions of vetiver (Vetiveria zizanioides (L.) Nash) and related taxa from its region of origin and around the world. It appears only one *V. zizanioides* genotype, 'Sunshine', accounts for almost all germplasm utilized outside South Asia. Additional RAPD analyses revealed that several other non-fertile accessions are distinct genotypes. This germplasm diversity holds promise for reducing the vulnerable genetic uniformity in what is now essentially a pantropical monoculture of an economically and environmentally important plant resource. Evaluation trials of these accessions are in progress. When Vetiveria cultivars and species were compared with Chrysopogon and Sorghum species, the Vetiveria taxa clustered by themselves but were most similar to Chrysopogon. Analysis of vetiver cultivars and putative V. nemoralis from Thailand, suggested that V. nemoralis is a distinct taxon. It was found that vetiver (and other grasses) have DNases that are not irreversibly denatured by desiccation. DNA from airdried leaves was often found to be degraded beyond use. A modified interim field preservation method is suggested. Material submitted for DNA analysis should be small (actively growing) leaves, harvested fresh and immediately placed into ethanol overnight and then either shipped in ethanol or activated silica gel.

(For Figures, please refer to R.P. Adams Power Point presentation)

### Introduction

The introduction of new plants into the environment by man is often in the form of mono-cultures. These mono-culture crops are extremely inbred for factors such as yield, uniform flowering and height, and cosmetic features of the products. This narrow genetic base has resulted in several disastrous crop failures. For example, Ireland's potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) famine of 1846 resulted in famine and the emigration of a quarter of its population. This was due to the fact that their potatoes had no resistance to *Phytophthora infestans*, the late blight fungus (Plucknett *et al.*, 1987). The lack of resistance can be traced to the lack of genetic diversity in Irish potatoes, which had been multiplied using clonal materials from just **two** separate South American introductions to Spain in 1570 and thence to England in 1590 (Hawkes, 1979). When the late blight fungus attacked the Irish potatoes there were no individuals with resistance genes among these two potato lines.

A more recent example is the southern corn leaf blight (fungus, *Helminthosporium maydis*) in 1970 in the United States. Because almost all of the corn (*Zea mays* L.) in the United States was of hybrid origin and contained the Texas cytoplasmic male sterile line, our fields of corn presented an unlimited extremely

narrow gene base habitat for the fungus. By the late summer, 1970, plant breeders were scouring corn germplasm collections in Argentina, Hungary, Yugoslavia and the United States for resistant sources (Plucknett *et al.*, 1987). Nurseries and seed fields were used in Hawaii, Florida, the Caribbean, and Central and South America to incorporate the resistance into hybrid corn in time for planting in the spring of 1971 (Ullstrup, 1972). Without these genetic resources this technological feat would not have been possible. Both the potato and corn examples show the susceptibility of a very narrow genetic base to an ecological disaster.

During the past 10 years, a tall, pantropical grass has been utilized in many parts of the world to control soil erosion: Vetiver (*Vetiveria zizanioides* (L.) Nash). Hedges of the non-seeding vetiver provide an effective living dam against erosion (NRC 1993, World Bank, 1994) and this technique is now in use in more than 160 countries. The exact origin of the non-seeding vetiver is not known. However, *V. zizanioides* seems to have originated in the area from India to Vietnam and its fragrant roots have been used for centuries for mats and perfumes (NRC 1993).

Kresovich *et al.* (1994) reported on clonal variation of vetiver using RAPDs. They found RAPD patterns were very stable within clones and were able to distinguish between 'Huffman' and 'Boucard' plants, and the USDA PI 196257 introductions. In addition, they found that each of the three USDA PI 196257 accessions (#1,2,3) were genetically different. They concluded that RAPDs would be very useful for identifying truly new and/ or different sources of diversity.

Elite germlines of *Vetiveria zizanioides* (L.) Nash have long been cultivated for their fragrant roots, which contain the essential Oil of Vetiver. This oil is clearly distinguished chemically and in commerce from Khus oil, which comes from natural (fertile) populations of *V. zizanioides* in the Ganges Plain of north India (CSIR, 1976) The Oil of Vetiver (commercial, essential oil *type*) has long been produced pantropically through via Vetiver cuttings. Within the past decade, vetiver occurrence has increased enormously through widespread plantings (over 100 countries) to form hedges for stabilizing soil and controlling waterflow.

One of the most desirable features of hedge row vetiver is that it is non-fertile and must be grown from cuttings (clumps of rootstock). Thus, because it does not reproduce by seeds, it is a very well behaved grass throughout the tropics and subtropics. It has not escaped cultivation and become a weed. However, the mere fact that it is always distributed by cuttings could lead to the widespread cultivation of a single clone. This would be extremely dangerous. If an insect or disease became adapted to a clone, the adaptation could spread and decimate millions of erosion control terraces of vetiver. In order to investigate this matter, we assembled leaf materials from cultivated vetiver from around the world and analyzed these accessions using RAPDs (DNA fingerprints). In addition, other *Vetiveria* species and two putatively related genera, *Chrysopogon* Trin. and *Sorghum* Moench. were analyzed in an effort to begin to understand the potential germplasm pool for future selections.

The preservation of plant specimens by silica gel desiccation for subsequent DNA analysis use is now routine (Adams *et al.*, 1992). The Missouri Botanical Garden Herbarium even has a DNA bank that consists of silica gel dried materials (Miller and Schmidt, 1998). It is thought that DNases (proteins) are denatured by the extreme

desiccation produced by dry silica gel (Adams *et al.*, 1992). The most common DNA extraction protocol used in plant science appears to be the hot CTAB method (Doyle and Doyle, 1987). In this and most other protocols, EDTA is a component because of its ability to chelate Mg<sup>2+</sup> ions, which are often needed by DNases (Ogawa and Kuroiwa, 1985). However, the assumption that all DNases can be inhibited by EDTA is not warranted. For example, in *Clamydomonas reinhardtii*, six DNases were found and each of these required Ca<sup>2+</sup> for activation (Ogawa and Kuroiwa, 1985). The DNases were little effected by the amount of Mg<sup>2+</sup> ions. In tobacco, the DNases did not appear to need any specific ions for activity and were inhibited by Mg<sup>2+</sup> (Zilberstein *et al.*, 1987). Two DNases were found in wheat seedlings (Jones and Boffey, 1984): one required Mg<sup>2+</sup> and the other was activated by EDTA! Jones and Boffey (1984) concluded "Thus, EDTA alone will not protect DNA from cleavage during its isolation from wheat seedlings."

The DNases are even more complex in rice. Sodmergen *et al.* (1991) found that rice contained 13 DNases with the following ion requirements: five Ca<sup>2+</sup>, four Zn<sup>2+</sup>, and four Mg<sup>2+</sup> dependent. If EDTA only chelates Mg<sup>2+</sup> ions, it would not be effective in inhibiting these DNases.

We recently received some vetiver (*Vetiveria*, Poaceae) samples from Madagascar that were shipped in silica gel in re-sealable plastic bags. The blue indicating crystals had turned partially pink (implying some re-hydration had occurred). The DNAs from these samples were very degraded. It appears that the DNases in vetiver may be re-activated by the addition of water. In fact, we have encountered difficulty in obtaining good DNA from vetiver by grinding directly in CTAB (Adams *et al.*, 1998). It has been necessary to grind in liquid nitrogen and then re-grind in CTAB. We thought this problem was due to the fibrous nature of the <u>Vetiveria</u> leaves (particularly when dry) which caused it to be difficult to grind to a fine powder in CTAB. However, we experienced difficulty in obtaining DNA of uniform quality, even when extracting fresh vetiver leaves which are easy to grind. It seemed plausible that the DNases were re-activated by water in the extraction buffer and that the EDTA was ineffective against these DNases.

Because different plant species apparently produce different kinds of DNases, it seems that a more general method for DNase inactivation is needed. Previously, we reported on the effectiveness of various alcohols in preserving plant specimens (Flournoy *et al.*, 1996). The alcohols apparently precipitated the proteins (including DNases) and, thus, protected the DNA. Ethanol was found to be the most effective alcohol tested (Flournoy *et al.*, 1996). I am including in this paper some recent information (Adams, Zhong and Fei, 1999) on the effects of ethanol on DNases from both fresh and silica gel dried materials from several plant families that resulted in a more general method for the interim preservation of plants.

## **Materials and Methods**

Specimens were collected as given in Tables 1-5. Leaves were shipped fresh, air dried, or desiccated in silica gel (Adams *et al.*, 1992). The DNA from vetiver was not preserved well in either fresh or air dried leaves. Upon receipt, all the materials were frozen until analyzed. DNA was extracted using the hot CTAB protocol (Doyle and

Doyle 1987) with the addition of 1 % (w/v) PVP and Pronase E (150 g). Grinding in hot CTAB (60° C) in a hot mortar and pestle was somewhat effective for some accessions, but most accessions yielded larger molecular weight DNA and greater yields when the tissue was ground in liquid nitrogen and then placed in hot CTAB (unless preserved in ethanol). Often yellowed leaves yielded degraded DNA when ground directly in hot CTAB, but yielded more high molecular weight DNA (20 -50 kbp) when ground in liquid nitrogen and then incubated in hot CTAB.

PCR was performed in a volume of 15  $\mu$ l containing 50 mM KCl, 10mM Tris-HCl (pH 9), 2.0 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.01 % gelatin and 0.1 % Triton X-100, 0.2 mM of each dNTPs, 0.36  $\mu$ M primers, 0.3 ng genomic DNA, and 0.6 unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Promega). A control PCR tube containing all components, but no genomic DNA, was run with each primer to check for contamination.

The following ten-mer primers (numbers from U. British Columbia project) were used: 134, AAC ACA CGA G; 184, CAA ACG GAC C; 212, GCT GCG TGA C; 218, CTC AGC CCA G; 234, TCC ACG GAC G; 239, CTG AAG CGG A, 244, CAG CCA ACC G; 250, CGA CAG TCC C; 265, CAG CTG TTC A; 268, AGG CCG CTT A; 327, ATA CGG CGT C; 346, TAG GCG AAC G; 347, TTG CTT GGC G. These primers gave several bright bands, did not have any false bands (in the controls) and were proved to be reproducible in replicated analyses. DNA amplification was performed in an MJ Programmable Thermal Cycler (MJ Research, Inc.). The thermal cycle was: 94°C (1.5 min) for initial strand separation, then 40 cycles of 38°C (2 min), 72°C (2 min), 91°C (1 min). Two additional steps were used: 38°C (2 min) and 72°C (5 min) for final extension. Amplification products were analyzed by electrophoresis on 1.5 % agarose gels and detected by staining with ethidium bromide. The gels were photographed under UV light with Polaroid film 667. pGEM DNA (Promega) was used as a molecular weight marker. The RAPD bands were scored by molecular weight and assigned a code based on primer number prefix and molecular weight category. In addition, the RAPD band intensity was scored as: 0 = no band; 4 = faint; 5 = medium; 6 = bright band, in reference to a gray tone standard (Adams and Demeke 1993). It might be noted that analyses using simple 0 = absent and 1 = present scoring gave very similar results, except the eigenroots were not as strongly loaded on the first few axes, implying that the information content was less than when the 0 - 6 scale was used. In replicated analysis, we have found that the relative band intensity is very reproducible in our lab. In our RAPD analyses, every primer generated at least one very bright band (level 6). Over the past several years we have screened over 250 primers and selected about 15 primers that we use routinely Any primer that did not generate at least one level 6 band (very bright) was not used in the analyses.. In addition, if the PCR amplification does not result in at least one level 6 band, the sample is re-analyzed in triplicate. Invariably, upon re-amplification all three re-analyses result at least one level 6 band. The brightest of the triplicate samples is then re-electrophoresed with the other samples. This iterative approach results in obtaining a set of very similar amplifications for each sample. Thus, the relative intensities are preserved.

Several factors may be responsible for the presence of faint bands: single copy DNA for faint bands vs. multiple DNA copies for bright bands; tertiary folding of DNA with cross bonding making the DNA less amenable to PCR amplification; and

competitive interactions between bands for TAQ enzymes and substrates during amplification.

These data were coded into a matrix of taxa by character values. Similarity measures were computed using absolute character state differences (Manhattan metric), divided by the maximum observed value for that character over all taxa (= Gower metric; Gower 1971; Adams 1975). Division by the character state range was tried and found to be less informative than using the maximum observed character value (i.e., including zero in the range). Principal coordinate analysis (PCO) of the similarity matrix follows Gower (1966) by a DOS based program PCO3D (available for PC computers from RPA).

For the DNases study, leaves from fresh spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.), broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L.), alfalfa sprouts (*Medicago sativa* L.) were purchased locally. Juniper (*Juniperus virginiana* L.) leaves were collected from trees cultivated near the laboratory. Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench.), wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), maize (*Zea mays* L.), and rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seed were secured locally and germinated to obtain seedlings.

Plant material was ground in a micro-mortar in 100 1 of either ddwater, CTAB, ethanol. The sample was then incubated for 20 min. at 37°C as a DNase activity assay (in the case of ethanol, 350 1 of ddwater has added before incubation). Then 350 1 of hot CTAB (Doyle and Doyle, 1987) was added to the CTAB or ethanol extracted samples. Proteinase (150 g, Sigma P6911) was added and all the samples were incubated an additional 30 min. at 60°C. The DNA was precipitated by the addition of 2 volumes of ethanol (rather that the use of 2/3 volume of isopropanol in Doyle and Doyle, 1987). DNA was separated on 0.6% agarose gels by electrophoresis (20 min, 100 v, 10 v/cm) with ethidium bromide in the gel and buffer. DNA quantities and qualities were estimated by comparisons with serial dilutions of genomic mouse DNA (Sigma D-0144) and lambda Hind III markers. Gels were photographed over short wave UV light using a Polaroid direct screen camera (DS34). In addition, all the extracted DNAs were subjected to PCR - RAPDs (Adams *et al.*, 1998) using a standard RAPD primer (UBC 268) to check for the quality of the DNAs.

# Results and Discussion Initial Screening

An initial screening (Adams *et al.*, 1998) of accessions (n=53) using 222 banding patterns found almost no variation among cultivated materials. The pattern obtained by primer 268 is typical of that obtained using primers 184, 239, 249, 327, and 346. Essentially no variation was detected in an initial twenty-seven accessions for outside south Asia, except for a quite-similar accession from Malawi.

A second series of accessions (n=68) was analyzed (Adams *et al.*, 1998) running only one highly discriminating primer (268). This analysis, while revealing additional variation in non fertile types, reinforced patterns that form several distinct genetic clusters. These groupings are validated by correspondence to botanical taxa and field observations (reports of fertility), see Table 1.

Of 60 total samples submitted from 29 countries outside south Asia, 53 (88%) were a single clone of *Vetiveria zizanioides* (Adams *et al.*, 1998). At least two-thirds of

these samples were first accessioned from traditional, in-country sources, i.e, oil producers, herbalists, botanical gardens, and other planted sites, and therefore may be considered representative of *ex situ* vetiver populations. Because vetiver is vegetatively propagated, it thus appears that one single essential-oil clone (which we are denoting as 'Sunshine' because of accession priority) is densely distributed throughout the tropics. Its introduction[s] was done certainly before WWII and most likely before this century. For instance, vetiver has been in the United States since at least the early 19th century, although the earliest authenticated germline identifications are currently 'Vallonia', South Africa, via Mauritius, c. 1900, M. Robert; 'Monto', Australia, 1930s, P. Truong; 'Sunshine', USA, 1960s, E. LeBlanc; and MY044693 and MY081268, Venezuela, 1982, O. Rodriguez; (information from Vetiver Network members). Such a consistent identity in a spatially and temporally scattered distribution implies that virtually all of the *Vetiveria zizanioides* outside South Asia could be the single 'Sunshine' genotype, which today certainly dominates soil stabilization and waterflow control usage.

These concerns led us to look for additional non-fertile germplasm to broaden the genetic base for erosion control projects (Adams *et al.*, 1998). A second solicitation for accessions of vetiver, related *Vetiveria* species and, presumably related, *Chrysopogon* and *Sorghum* species was made (Table 2).

Thirteen primers were run on the 18 accessions in Table 2. The RAPD analysis yielded 222 bands that were coded. A minimum spanning network revealed that the vetivers and related species cluster together (Fig. 2). However, notice that the *Chrysopogon fluvus* and *C. gryllus* are quite distinct, but are linked first by their similarity to a vetiver (Nepal, NP; and *V. elongata*, EN, respectively, Fig. 2). The *Sorghum* species cluster together and form a separate group (Fig. 2).

Principal coordinate analysis (PCO) was run on these 18 Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) (Table 2). The first five eigenroots extracted 21.55, 11.22, 9.71, 7.47, and 7.00% of the variance among the eighteen OTUs. The eigenroots appear to asymptote after the first five roots. The first principal coordinate separates *Sorghum* from *Vetiveria* and *Chrysopogon* (Fig. 3) supporting its taxonomic distinctiveness. The second axis separates the *V. elongata* (EB, EN), *V. filipes* (FP) and the Panama vetiver (PB) from the other vetiver accessions. The third axis principally separates the *Chrysopogon* gryllus from all the other accessions. However, notice that *C. gryllus* is most similar to *V. zizanioides* from Nepal (0.69, NP, Fig. 2), whereas *Chrysopogon fulvus* is most similar to *V. elongata*(0.72). The similarity between *C. fulvus* and *C. gryllus* is only 0.67. The fact that these two *Chrysopogon* species are each more similar to *Vetiveria* taxa than to each other indicates that some taxonomic revision is warranted between *Chrysopogon* and *Vetiveria*.

The *Sorghum* taxa were added as an out-group to *Vetiveria*, and that is exactly as they appear: similar to each other, but rather distant from *Vetiveria* (Figs. 2, 3). The *Vetiveria* taxa cluster fairly tightly (similarities between 0.81 and 0.90). To examine subclustering among the *Vetiveria*, one can graph additional principal coordinates. However, because *Chrysopogon* and *Sorghum* principally accounted for coordinates 1 and 3 and part of coordinate 2, it is reasonable to remove these taxa and re-compute PCO using only the *Vetiveria* taxa.

After the *Chrysopogon* and *Sorghum* taxa were removed from the data set, PCO was performed using the remaining fourteen *Vetiveria* OTUs. The first five eigenroots removed: 19.01, 12.82, 10.11, 9.34 and 8.78% of the variance among the *Vetiveria* OTUs, before appearing to asymptote. Most striking in the ordination (Fig. 4) is the distinctness of the vetiver from Panama, site B (PB). It is as dissimilar to the other *V. zizanioides* (cf. SS, Fig. 4) as are the recognized species, *V. elongata* (EN, EB) and *V. filipes* (FP). As there are no recognized *Vetiveria* species native to the new world, the Panama accession may be an introduced plant from the old world, or perhaps *Chrysopogon pauciflorus* (Chapm.) Vasey, which is reported from Cuba and Florida.

The vetiver OTUs from Bangladesh, India and Nepal form a notably tight cluster. The putative *V. nigritana* from Malawi (NG) is loosely (0.81) associated with *V. zizanioides* (from northern India). Whereas, *V. zizanioides* cv. 'Grafton' (GR) from Australia is most similar to 'Sunshine' (SS), albeit at the same level of similarity as the putative *V. nigritana* is to vetiver from India (0.81).

PCO using only the nine putative *V. zizanioides* OTUs yielded eight eigenroots with no apparent asymptote. This indicates that there is little clustering among these OTUs. Ordination (Fig. 5) shows that three of the OTUs from India (In1, In2, In8) do form a tight cluster, but the other OTUs are fairly disjoint. There is some clustering of the Bangladesh (BG), India (In1, In2, In8, InP, In10), and Nepal (NP) OTUs (Fig. 5). 'Sunshine' (SS) is divergent from the main north India group, and 'Grafton' is even more divergent. It is interesting to note that, apparently, only Sunshine is non-seeding, although Grafton has low seed fertility (1-3%, Paul Truong, pers. comm.). Several additional accessions had similar patterns to other OTUs (see Table 2).

## Genetic Diversity within Vetiver zizanioides

An early report on variation in vetiver from Thailand (Strifah, Sangduen and Ruanjaichon, 1997) was recently updated (Adams *et al.*, 2000) using 217 RAPD bands. A minimum spanning tree (Fig. 6) revealed (Adams *et al.*, 2000) that 3 major groups are present in the data set: "Sunshine" vetiver, the 6 putative <u>V. nemoralis</u> from Thailand (B4-B9), and the single Panama B accession from Panama. Note that AV (American Vetiver Corp.) and KR (cv. Karnataka from Malaysia) show the greatest differences in the "Sunshine" vetiver complex. All of these accessions are non-fertile.

Principal coordinate analysis (PCO) of this similarity matrix removed 69.4% of the variance among the accessions by 9 eigenroots. These eigenroots accounted for 20.4, 10.0, 8.2, 6.7, 5.6, 5.2, 4.8, 4.3, and 4.2% of the variance. The eigenroots appear to asymptote after the 5th root. A 3-d ordination reveals that coordinate 1 separated the putative  $\underline{V}$ . memorials (Thailand) from all the other accessions (Fig. 7). The second axis seems to separate the  $\underline{V}$ . zizanioides from Thailand (B1-B3) from other accessions. The Panama B (PB) accession was separated by the third axis (Figure 7). Clearly, the accessions of  $\underline{V}$ . nemoralis from Thailand form a group in both Figs. 6 and 7 and this indicates that this group may warrant some taxonomic recognition.

In order to more clearly discern the inter-relationships among the <u>V</u>. <u>zizanioides</u> accessions, the putative <u>V</u>. <u>nemoralis</u> accessions and the Panama B accession were removed from the data set and a new PCO was performed. This PCO resulted in removing 82.1% of the variance among accessions by the first 9 eigenroots: 20.0, 12.0,

10.2, 9.3, 7.7, 7.0, 6.0, 5.1, and 4.8%. The first ordination (Fig. 8) shows that the "Sunshine" accession (SS) occupies a central position with the three Thailand accessions (B1-B3) ordinated away from the main portion of the vetiver accessions. Several Malaysian accessions tended to cluster as a group on the left (Fig. 8). The second coordinate shows the unique nature of one of the Malaysia accessions (ML in Fig. 8) and the Karnataka (KR) accession. It should be noted that the relationships shown in the minimum spanning network (Fig. 6) were unaffected by removing the  $\underline{V}$ .  $\underline{nemoralis}$  and Panama B accessions, because they were not most similar to any of the  $\underline{V}$ .  $\underline{zizanioides}$  accessions (data not shown).

Accessions AV (American Vetiver Corp.) and KR (Karnataka, Malayasia) were heavily loaded onto coordinates 4 and 5, respectively. Ordination using axes 1, 4, and 5 reveals that these OTUs are quite distinct (Fig. 9). Note particularly that AV is not clustering close to Sunshine (SS), in contrast to figure 8. This distant relationship to SS is, of course confirmed in the minimum spanning network (Fig. 6). It should be noted that there is often distortion when only 3 axes are used in ordination. In this case, it takes a separate ordination utilizing axes 4 and 5 to portray the variation.

Several points were revealed from that study (Adams *et al.*, 2000). New sources of germplasm should be accessioned from the Thailand materials (B1-B3). The Thailand V. nemoralis accessions should be further investigated as to their taxonomic status (species or infraspecific taxon?). In contrast, to the previous work (Adams *et al.*, 1998), this more robust DNA analysis (217 bands) shows the AV (American Vetiver Corp.) accession to be a source of germplasm that is quite distinct from Sunshine. The Panama B plants need to be more thoroughly taxonomically investigated. Some of the accessions are so closely related that only one type should be included in test plot evaluation (e.g., SB, SH, PT, HF, see Figs. 6, 8), if time and money are constraints.

In order to diversify the current germplasm, we are establishing test plots in several countries utilizing the following accessions: Sunshine (SS),Songkla (B1), Surat Thani (B2), Sri Lanka (B3) via Thailand, Malaysia (ML), Karnataka (KR), American Vetiver Corp. (AV), Hoffman (HF), Capital (CP), Colombo, Sri Lanka (SL), Costa Rica (CR), and Zomba, Malawi (ZM).

## Preservation of Vetiver for DNA analysis

We have found (Adams, Zhong and Fei, 1999) that all of the species examined contained DNases that degraded the DNA when the ground material was incubated in ddwater for 20 min. at 37°C (Table 5), except juniper, in which case, the DNA was only partially degraded but completely degraded after 24h, 37°C. Note particularly that preservation in silica gel does not irreversibly inactivate DNases. In every case, except broccoli, the DNA in silica gel dried leaves was degraded when the leaves were ground in water and incubated (Table 5). Thus, it appears that when shipping materials, one must be very careful that the materials are not re-hydrated either during transit or subsequent to extraction.

All of the non-grasses yielded very good DNA when ground in CTAB and then incubated in CTAB (20 min, 37°C). In contrast, CTAB was either not very effective or ineffective in protecting the DNA for most of the grasses (Table 5, Fig. 10). Only the fresh maize and fresh wheat yielded very good DNA (20-50kbp) when ground in CTAB

and incubated. Fresh sorghum and silica dried wheat yielded good (some degraded DNA) under these conditions.

However, even the most recalcitrant species (vetiver and rice) yielded very good DNA (Table 5) when the materials were first ground in ethanol and then incubated in ddwater (20 min., 37°C). It seems that the ethanol, in precipitating the proteins, has also irreversibly denatured the DNases.

All of the samples that yielded good or very good DNAs (Table 5) gave good bands by PCR - RAPD, whereas those with poor or degraded DNAs either failed to amplify or produced variable bands.

The grinding of plant material in a small quantity of ethanol, before grinding in the extraction buffer (CTAB in this instance), would seem to be a general method for the inactivation of DNases, regardless of their requirements for Mg<sup>2+</sup>, other ions or no ions.

In summary, it appears that one should immerse the fresh vetiver leaves in ethanol overnight to completely denature the DNases, then either ship in ethanol or pack the leaves in activated silica gel in very tightly closed containers before shipping for DNA analysis.

## Acknowledgements

Thanks to many colleagues who have worked on this project. They include: Mark Dafforn, Yang Fei, Ziao-qiang Ma, Naresh Pandey, Nitsri Sangduen, Lakhan Singh, Pattana Srifah, Yerlon Turuspekov, Jeff Veldkamp, and Ming Zhong. This research supported by funds from Baylor University, the Conservation, Food, and Health Foundation, and the Wallace Genetic Foundation. I also want to thank the numerous collaborators in the Vetiver network who contributed samples of vetiver tissue.

#### Literature cited

Adams R. P. 1975. Statistical character weighting and similarity stability. Brittonia 27: 305-316.

Adams R. P. and Demeke T. 1993. Systematic relationships in <u>Juniperus</u> based on random amplified polymorphic DNAs (RAPDs). Taxon 42: 553-571.

Adams R.P., Do N. and Chu, G-L. 1992. Preservation of DNA in plant specimens from endangered tropical species by desiccation. In: Conservation of plant genes: DNA banking and in vitro biotechnology (ed. Adams R. P. and Adams J. E.), pp. 135-152. Academic Press, New York.

Adams R. P., Zhong M., Srifah P. and Sangduen N. 2000. DNA genetic diversity of *Vetiveria zizanioides* (Poaceae). Phytologia (in press).

Adams R. P., Zhong M., Turuspekov Y., Dafforn M. R. and Veldkamp J. F. 1998. DNA fingerprints reveal clonal nature of Vetiver (*Vetiveria zizanioides* L. (Nash) and sources of potential new germplasm. Molec. Ecol. 7: 813-818.

Adams R. P., Zhong M. and Fei, Y. 1999. Preservation of DNA in plant specimens: Inactivation and re-activation of DNases in field specimens. Molec. Ecol. 8: 681-683.

CSIR (Council on Scientific and Industrial Research). 1976. Vetiveria. pp. 451-457. In: The Wealth of India, Vol. X. Publications and Information Director, CSIR, New Delhi.

- Doyle J. J. and Doyle J. L. 1987. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh tissue. Phytochemical Bulletin 19: 11-15.
- Flournoy, L. E., Adams R. P. and Pandey R. N. 1996. Interim and archival preservation of plants specimens in alcohols for DNA studies. BioTechniques 20: 657- 660.
- Gower J. C. 1966. Some distance properties of latent root and vector methods used in multivariate analysis. Biometrika 53: 315-328.
- Gower J. C. 1971. A general coefficient of similarity and some of its properties. Biometrics 27: 857-874.
- Hawkes, J.G. 1979. Genetic poverty of the potato in Europe, <u>in</u>: Proceeding of the Conference: Broadening the Genetic Base of Crops, A.C. Zeven and A.M. van Harten, eds., Wageningen, Netherlands, 3-7 July, 1978. PUDOC, Kresovich S., Lamboy W. F., Li R., Ren J., Szewc-McFadden A. K. and Bliek S.
  - M. 1994. Application of molecular methods and statistical analyses for discrimination of accessions and clones of vetiver grass. Crop Science 34: 805-809.
- Jones, M. C., Boffey S. A. 1984. Deoxyribonuclease activities of wheat seedlings. Federation of European Biochemical Societies 174: 215-218.
- Miller, J. and Schmidt H. 1998. The Missouri Botanical Garden's DNA Bank. In: Conservation of Plant Genes III: Conservation and Utilization of African Plants. (eds. Adams R. P. and Adams J. E.). pp. 175-182. Missouri Botanical Garden Press.
- National Research Council (NRC) 1993. Vetiver Grass. A thin green line against erosion. National Academy Press, Wash. D.C. Wageningen.
- Ogawa, K. and Kuroiwa T. 1985. Nuclease C: Polymorphism of Calcium-dependent nucleases in Clamydomonas reinhardtii. Plant Cell Physiology 26: 481-491.
- Plucknett, D.L., Smith, N.J.H., William, J.T., and Murthi Anishetty, N., 1987. Gene Banks and The World's Food. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.
- Sodmergen, K., Kawano S., Tano S. and Kuroiwa T. 1991. Degradation of chloroplast DNA in second leaves of rice (Oryza sativa) before leaf yellowing. Protoplasma 160: 89-98.
- Strifah, P., Sangduen N. and Ruanjaichon V.. 1997. The use of random amplified polymorphic DNA for classification of *Vetiveria* spp. in Thailand. Proc. First International Conference on Vetiver grass. Chiang Rai, Thailand, Feb. 4-8, 1996. Office of the Royal Dev. Proj. Board, Bangkok.
- Ullstrup, A.J. 1972. The impacts of the southern corn leaf blight epidemics of 1970-1971, Annual Review of Phytopathology 10:37.
- World Bank. 1994. Vetiver Grass Network. The World Bank, Asia Technical Dept., Washington, DC.
- Zilberstein, A., Koch T., Altschuler Y., Lers A. and Zamir A. 1987. Characterization of DNase(s) activity in tobacco leaf extracts. Plant Science 52: 57-65.

Table 1. Preliminary classification of vetiver accessions by DNA fingerprinting. A = pattern based on 6 primers: 184, 239, 249, 268, 327, and 346. B = pattern based only on primer 268. Fertile codes: N = no, Y = yes, F = fully, L = low, + = confirmed, - = assumed, ? = unknown.

\* = botanically verified at the species level.

<u> </u>	asian #	1 ab #	Charica Course (ather leastions) Fort	
	ssion #		Species Source (other locations) Fert	
s <sup>A</sup>			shine clone (S) (= Haiti, Monto, Boucard, Huffman, Va	
s <sup>A</sup>	VET-RPA-7655		V. zizanioides, Haiti, Massif de la Selle, 1600 amsl	N+
SA SA	VET-RPA-7659		V. zizanioides, Haiti, Marigot, 8 amsl	N+
SA	VET-RPA-7660		V. zizanioides, Haiti, Jacmel, 3 amsl	N+
s <sup>A</sup>	VET-RPA-7661		V. zizanioides, Haiti, Jacmel, 3 amsl	N+
S <sup>A</sup>	VET-RPA-7663		V. zizanioides, Haiti, Massif de la Selle, 820 amsl	N+
s <sup>A</sup> *	VET-PT-1A		V. zizanioides cv. 'Monto', Australia, Queensland	N+
s <sup>A</sup> *	VET-PT-1B		<u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> cv. 'Fiji', Australia, Queensland (Fiji)	N+
s <sup>A</sup> *	VET-PT-1D	7714	V. zizanioides, Australia, Queensland	
<b>-</b> Λ.			(Western Australia)	N+
s <sup>A</sup> *	VET-PT-1E		V. zizanioides, New Guinea	N+
s <sup>A</sup>	VET-RGG-PA-A		<u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , Panama, site A	N+
sA	VET-RGG-CR-A		V. zizanioides, Costa Rica, San Jose	N+
SA*	VET-MR-VAL1		V. zizanioides cv. 'Vallonia', South Africa, Natal	N+
sA	VET-OSR-1.0		<u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , Venezuela, Maracay (flowers some)	N+
sA	VET-DEKN-1001		V. zizanioides, Aneityum Island, Pacific	N+
sA	VET-DEKN-1003		V. zizanioides, Efate Island, Pacific	N+
sA	VET-DEKN-1002		V. zizanioides, Atiu Island, Pacific	N+
sA	VET-DEKN-1004		<u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , Mangaia Island, Pacific	N+
$S^A$	VET-GVB-001	7742	<u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> cv. 'Boucard', USA,	
			Texas, (Haiti or Guatemala)	N+
sA	VET-MJ-F1	7747	V. zizanioides, USA, North Carolina	N+
sA	VET-MJ-F2	7748	V. zizanioides, USA, North Carolina	N+
s <sup>A</sup> *	VET-MRL-0001	7749	V. zizanioides cv. 'Sunshine', USA, Louisiana	N+
$S^A$	VET-MRD-0001	7750	V. zizanioides cv. 'Sunshine', USA, Louisiana	N+
$S^A$	VET-MRD-0002	7751	V. zizanioides cv. 'Huffman', USA, Florida (Louisiana	) N+
sA	VET-RDH-0001		V. zizanioides, Hong Kong (Thailand?)	N-
$s^A$	VET-RDH-0002		V. zizanioides, Hong Kong (South China)	N-
$S^B$	VET-JG-23		V. zizanioides, New Zealand, Northland	N
$S^B$	VET-EB-5997		V. zizanioides, Netherlands Antilles, Bonaire (USA)	N
SB	VET-JGN-0001	7777	V. zizanioides, USA, California	N+
SB SB SB SB	VET-EAB-5262		V. zizanioides, Philippines, Leyte	N
SB	VET-CXH-0001		V. zizanioides, China, Guiyang	N+
SB	VET-JA-1-1	7954	V. zizanioides, Kenya, Nairobi, ICRAF	N
SR	VET-JA-1-3		V. zizanioides, Peru, Iquitos, ICRAF	N
$S^B$	VET-JA-1-4		V. zizanioides, Peru, Iquitos, ICRAF	N
SΒ	VET-JA-2-3		V. zizanioides, Peru, Iquitos, ICRAF	N
ςB	VET-OSR-1-B		<u>V. zizanioides</u> , Venezuela, Maracay (Carabobo)	N+
SB∗	VET-OSR-2		V. zizanioides, Venezuela, Maracay (Bajo Seco)	N+
SΒ	VET-HGR-01		V. zizanioides, Colombia, Bogota	N+
SΒ	VET-TS-F1		V. zizanioides, Ethiopia, Filakit	N+
ςB	VET-TS-F2		V. zizanioides, Ethiopia, Filakit	N+
ςB	VET-TS-F3		V. zizanioides, Ethiopia, Filakit	N+
ςB	VET-TS-D1		V. zizanioides, Ethiopia, Digitosh	N+
ςB	VET-TS-D2		V. zizanioides, Ethiopia, Digitosh	N+
ςB	VET-TS-M1		V. zizanioides, Ethiopia, Minikaba	N+
ŠΒ	VET-TS-M2		V. zizanioides, Ethiopia, Minikaba	N+
SΒ	VET-TS-M3		V. zizanioides, Ethiopia, Minikaba	N+
SΒ	VET-HP-01		V. zizanioides, Honduras, Zamorano	N
		_	<del></del> ·	

```
S^B
     VET-HP-03
                             7988 V. zizanioides, USA, Florida (Louisiana)
                                                                                     Ν
S^B
      VET-JMJS-VC1
                             8000 V. zizanioides, Mexico, Oaxaca (Vera Cruz)
                                                                                     Ν
ŠΒ
      VET-CED-0001
                             8002 V. zizanioides, Bolivia, Sucre (MASDAR germplasm?)N
sB
                                                                                     Ν
     VET-DD-A1
                             8005 V. zizanioides, Ethiopia, Dilla, Gedio
SΒ
     VET-DD-B1
                             8006 V. zizanioides, Ethiopia, Dilla, Gedio
                                                                                     Ν
\mathsf{S}^\mathsf{B}
     VET-DD-C1
                             8007 V. zizanioides, Ethiopia, Dilla, Gedio
                                                                                     Ν
ςB
     VET-MB-01
                             8029 V. zizanioides, cv. 'Huffman', USA, Florida (Louisiana) N+
Sunshine affinities: (S- = Sunshine pattern with one missing band, S+ = Sunshine pattern with one
additional band).
S+B VET-IPA-MUIR-001
                             7989 V. zizanioides, Mozambique, Maputo
S+B VET-LW-0001
                             8048 V. zizanioides cv. 'Capitol', USA, Louisiana
                                                                                     Ν
S-B* VET-TGAVC-002
                             8051 V. zizanioides cv. 'AVC', Spain, Murcia
                                                 (Am. Vet. Co., Texas)
                                                                                     N+
Sri Lanka (Chiapas) clone (SL):
SLB* VET-RN-001
                             7951 V. zizanioides, Sri Lanka, Colombo
                                                                                     N-
SLB VET-IMZ-AGA
                             7765 V. zizanioides, Malawi, Lilongwe
                                                                                      N-
SLB VET-SBR-VNN-96/2
                             7993 V. zizanioides, Sri Lanka, Kandy
                                                                                      N-
SLB VET-SBR-VNN-96/3
                             7994 V. zizanioides, Sri Lanka, Kandy
                                                                                     N-
SLB VET-SBR-VNN-96/4
                             7995 V. zizanioides, Sri Lanka, Kandy
                                                                                     N-
SLB VET-SBR-AN-96/2
                             7997 V. zizanioides, Sri Lanka, Kandy
                                                                                     N-
SLB VET-SBR-AN-96/4
                             7999 V. zizanioides, Sri Lanka, Kandy
                                                                                     N-
SLB VET-JMJS-CH1
                             8001 V. zizanioides, Mexico, Oaxaca (Chiapas)
                                                                                     N-
'Farmers Fodder' or 'Karnataka' (KM)
KMB * VET-TGKN-003
                             8052 V. zizanioides cv. 'Karnataka', Spain, Murcia
                                                (Malaysia, India)
                                                                                      N+
'Breeder' complex (G):
GB* VET-UCL-027
                             7981 V. zizanioides, India, Lucknow, CIMAP
                                                                                     L?
GB* VET-HP-02
                             7987 V. zizanioides, India, Uttar Pradesh,
                                                     (USDA PI 554617, 'Carter')
                                                                                     YL
'Breeder' affinities: G+, G++ = with one(+) or two (++) extra band(s); G- = with a missing band.
G+B VET-JGN-0002
                             7778 V. zizanioides, USA, California (Philippines?)
                                                                                      YL?
G++B* VET-UCL-024
                             7980 V. zizanioides, India, Lucknow, CIMAP
                                                                                      ?
G+B* VET-UCL-040
                                                                                     ?
                             7982 V. zizanioides, India, Lucknow, CIMAP
G-B* VET-UCL-042
                                                                                     ?
                             7983 V. zizanioides, India, Lucknow, CIMAP
G+B* VET-UCL-045
                                                                                     ?
                             7984 V. zizanioides, India, Lucknow, CIMAP
G+-B*
                             VET-UCL-M1
                                                                                     7985
      V. zizanioides, India, Lucknow, CIMAP
Khus type of Northern India (Kh): (similar to Indian type I, cf. 7761)
KhB* VET-SCRC-001
                                                                                     YF+
                             8035 V. zizanioides, USA, USDA (India)
'Ganges' complex (North India), loose group with considerable banding differences.
      VET-BANG-B001
                             7723 V. zizanioides, Bangladesh
                                                                                     YF+
<sub>l</sub>Β∗
      VET-BANG-B002
                             7724 V. zizanioides, Bangladesh
                                                                                      YF+
iB∗
      VET-BANG-B003
                             7725 V. zizanioides, Bangladesh
                                                                                     YF+
ıB*
      VET-BANG-B004
                             7726 V. zizanioides, Bangladesh
                                                                                     YF+
ıB*
     VET-USDA-U1
                             7735 V. zizanioides, India, Punjab,
                                                Simla (USDA PI 196257)
                                                                                     YF+
ıB*
                             7736 V. zizanioides, India, A-3225 (USDA PI 213903)
      VET-USDA-U2
                                                                                     YF+
ıB*
                             7737 V. zizanioides, India (USDA PI 271633)
      VET-USDA-U3
                                                                                      YF+
<sub>I</sub>B*
      VET-USDA-U4
                             7738 V. zizanioides, India, A-7026 (unverified)
                                                                                     YF+
                                                 (USDA PI 302300)
<sub>I</sub>B*
      VET-USDA-U5
                             7739 V. zizanioides, India, NBPGR Hybrid 7
```

		(USDA PI 538753)	YF+
<sub>l</sub> Β∗	VET-USDA-U6	7740 <u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , India, BE-2668, NBPGR Hybrid 8	11 +
		(USDA PI 538754)	YF+
ĮΒ∗	VET-USDA-U7	7741 V. zizanioides, India, BE-2668, NBPGR Hybrid 26	
D		(USDA PI 538756)	YF+
ĮΒ .Β	VET-K-Dtp-1	7752 <u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , India, Orissa	YF+
ĮΒ .Β	VET-K-Pub-2	7753 <u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , India, Orissa	YF+
ĮΒ .в	VET-K-Dnk-3	7754 <u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , India, Orissa	YF+
ĮΒ ,Β	VET-K-Brk-8	7759 <u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , India, Orissa	YF+
ΙΒ .Β	VET-U-Blp-9	7760 <u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , India, Orissa	YF+
ΙΒ ΙΒ	VET-U-NIg-10	7761 <u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , India, Orissa	YF+
ΙΒ ΙΒ	VET-U-Gsg-11	7762 V. zizanioides, India, Orissa	YF+
ĮВ	VET-U-Bdm-12	7763 <u>V. zizanioides,</u> India, Orissa	YF+
10	VET-CWDS-01	7764 V. zizanioides, Nepal, Kathmandu	?
ιΒ∗	VET LICE OOF	(lowlands) (low flowering)	? ?
iB∗	VET-UCL-005 VET-UCL-007	7976 V. zizanioides, India, Lucknow, CIMAP	, ?
ı⊢ ıB∗	VET-BANG-B006-B	7978 <u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , India, Lucknow, CIMAP 8037 <u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , Bangladesh	, YF+
•		es type with one missing band:	IIT
J-B*	yes annilles. 1- = Gange VET-BANG-B005-B	8036 <u>V. zizanioides,</u> Bangladesh	YF+
ı-− <sub>I-</sub> B∗	VET-TGSB-004	8053 <u>V. zizanioides, Bangiadesh</u> 8053 <u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides,</u> cv. 'Sabah', Spain, Murcia	IIT
1	VE1-1G3B-004	(Malaysia)	N+
<sub>I-</sub> B∗	VET-TGSBB-005	8054 V. zizanioides, cv. 'Sabak Buntar', Spain, Murcia	INT
•	VET 160BB 003	(Malaysia)	N+
		(Malaysia)	141
Graft	on type (Gr):		
Gr <sup>A</sup> *	VET-PT-1C	7713 V. zizanioides cv. 'Grafton', Australia, Queensland	YL+
	VET-SBR-AN-96/1	7996 V. zizanioides, Sri Lanka, Kandy	?
Othe	r V. zizanioides banding	patterns (O):(various banding, each of which is different)	
οВ	VET-SJC-2	7775 <u>V. zizanioides,</u> Malawi, Zomba	N+
oB∗	VET-TGML-001	8050 V. zizanioides, cv. 'Malaysia', Spain, Murcia	
_		(Malaysia)	N+
OB*	VET-TGPB-006	8055 V. zizanioides, cv 'Parit Buntar', Spain, Murcia	
_		(Malaysia)	N+
OB	VET-JM-PV1	8076 V. zizanioides? Costa Rica, Puerto Viejo	N?
	r Vetiveria species:		
<u>V</u> . <u>el</u>	ongata (R. Br.) Stapf (Eg	y): (very similar to one another)	
	VET-PT-2A	7716 <u>V. elongata</u> , (narrow leaf), Australia, Northern Territ	
Eg∕∵	VET-PT-2B	7717 V. elongata, (broad leaf), Australia, Northern Territo	ry YF-
\	noo (Bonth ) C.E. Hubb.	(En) (quite distinct 7772 may be a different enecies or genu	۱۵)
v. IIII ⊏⊳B∗		(Fp) (quite distinct, 7772 may be a different species or genu	
-rp∋ -rp∃∗	VET-F1-2C VET-FA-257810	7718 <u>V</u> . <u>filipes</u> , Australia 7772 <u>V. filipes</u> , Australia, USDA PI 257810	YF- YF+
гþ	VE1-FA-23/610	1112 <u>v. Illipes,</u> Australia, USDA PI 257610	17+
V ni	aritana (Renth ) Stanf (N	g): (very similar to one another)	
NaA	VET-ISV-AGA	7766 <u>V. nigritana,</u> Malawi, Lilongwe (few seed)	YL?!
	VET-SJC-1	7774 V. nigritana, Malawi, Zomba	YF+
119	V = 1 000 1	TITE V. Hightana, Malawi, 2011Da	11 T
Poss	ible other <u>Vetiveria</u> / <u>Chr</u>	vsopogon species	
PA	VET-RGG-PA-B	7720 <u>Vetiveria</u> sp.?, Panama, Western, site B (Costa Rica	a) ?
	VET-BANG-B005	7727 Vetiveria sp.?, Bangladesh	YF+?
	VET-BANG-B006	7728 Vetiveria sp.?, Bangladesh	YF+?
		o op.,, bangladoon	

Other Genera:

Cf <sup>A</sup> * Cg <sup>A</sup> Ca <sup>B</sup>	sopogon Trin. VET-CFP-219579 * VET-CGP-383762 * VET-BANG-B007 * VET-JVTH-ZN001	7769 C. fulvus (Spreng.)Chiov., Pakistan (USDA PI 2195 7771 C. gryllus (L.) Trin., Turkey (USDA PI 383762) 8038 C. aciculatus (Retz.) Trin., Bangladesh Chrysopogon nemoralis (Balansa) Holttum (recv'd Zizania nemoralis (Balansa) Camas), Thailand	ÝF YF+
Sh <sup>A</sup>	hum Moench. VET-AW-01 VET-RPA-8030	8030 <u>S. halepense</u> (L.) Pers., USA, Texas 8030 <u>S</u> . <u>bicolor</u> (L.) Moench., USA, Texas	YF+ YF+
NT NT NT NT NT* NT NT NT NT NT	VET-MJ-B1 VET-MJ-B2 VET-MJ-B3 VET-MJ-B4 VET-MJ-B5 VET-USDA-F1 VET-K-Bdln-4 VET-K-Bdln-5 VET-K-Bdln-6 VET-K-Bdln-7 VET-JSC-0001 VET-JBH-1267	D = degraded DNA, see text)  7701 V. zizanioides, USA, North Carolina, fungus on see  7702 V. zizanioides, USA, North Carolina, fungus on see  7703 V. zizanioides, USA, North Carolina, fungus on see  7704 V. zizanioides, USA, North Carolina, fungus on see  7705 V. zizanioides, USA, North Carolina, fungus on see  7734 V. filipes, Australia, USDA (PI 257810)  (duplicate acc. under 7772)  7755 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7756 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7757 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7758 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7758 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7750 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7751 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7752 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7753 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7754 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7755 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7756 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7757 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7758 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7758 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7750 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7751 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7752 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7753 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7754 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7755 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7756 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7757 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa  7758 Vetiveria sp., India, Orissa	ds ds ds
DNA D*	too degraded to use: VET-USDA-B6 VET-USDA-B7 VET-USDA-B8 VET-USDA-B9 VET-USDA-B10 VET-CFI-554618 VET-EAB-5261 VET-JA-1-2 VET-JA-2-1 VET-JA-2-2 VET-NSC-01 VET-NSC-02 VET-HGR-02 VET-HGR-02 VET-UCL-006 VET-UCL-008 VET-UCL-008 VET-SBR-VA-96/1 VET-SBR-VH-96/1 VET-SBR-VNN-96/1 VET-SBR-AN-96/3 VET-BBG-001 VET-BBG-02	7706 V. zizanioides, India, Punjab, Simla (USDA PI 1962) 7707 V. zizanioides, India, Punjab, Simla (USDA PI 1962) 7708 V. zizanioides, India, Punjab, Simla (USDA PI 1962) 7709 V. zizanioides, India, Punjab, Simla (USDA PI 1962) 7710 V. zizanioides, India, Punjab, Simla (USDA PI 1962) 7710 C. fulvus (Sprengel) Chiov., India (USDA PI 1962) 7710 C. fulvus (Sprengel) Chiov., India (USDA PI 1962) 7710 V. zizanioides, Philippines, Leyte 7955 V. zizanioides, Kenya, Nairobi, ICRAF 7958 V. zizanioides, Kenya, Nairobi, ICRAF 7959 V. zizanioides, Kenya, Nairobi, ICRAF 7963 V. zizanioides, Cameroon, Mbingo Bamenda (Nigel 1964 V. zizanioides, Cameroon, Maroua 7966 V. zizanioides, Colombia, Cundinamarca (flowering) 7972 V. zizanioides, India, CIMAP 7979 V. zizanioides, India, CIMAP 7990 V. zizanioides, Sri Lanka, Kandy 7991 V. zizanioides, Sri Lanka, Kandy 7992 V. zizanioides, Sri Lanka, Kandy 7998 V. zizanioides, Ghana, Central 8004 V. fulvibarbus, Ghana, Central	257) YF 257) YF 257) YF 257) YF 8) YF ? ? ? ? ria) ?

Table 2. Eighteen accessions of Vetiveria, Chrysopogon and Sorghum analyzed using primers: 134, 184, 212, 218, 234, 239, 244, 250, 265, 268, 327, 346 and 347. Codes for fertility: N = no; Y = yes, F = fully; L = low.

Code Acc. #		Lab#	Material, origin, Collector	Fertile?
SS	VET-RPA-7655	7655	<u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , Haiti	N
PΒ	VET-RGG-PA-B	7720	Vetiveria sp.?, Panama, Western site B (Costa Rica)	?
GR	VET-PT-1C	7713	V. zizanioides cv. 'Grafton', Australia, Queensland	YL
ΕN	VET-PT-2A	7716	V. elongata (R. Br.) Stapf (narrow leaf), Australia	YF
EΒ	VET-PT-2B	7717	V. elongata (R. Br.) Stapf (broad leaf), Australia	YF
FP	VET-PT-2C	7718	V. filipes (Benth.) C.E.Hubb., Australia	YF
BG	VET-BANG-B001	7723	<u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , Bangladesh	YF
lnΡ	VET-USDA-196257	7735	V. zizanioides, Simla, Punjab, India, USDA PI 196257	YF
ln1	VET-K-Dtp-1	7752	<u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , Orissa, India	YF
ln2	VET-K-Pnb-2	7753	<u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , Orissa, India	YF
	VET-K-Brk-8	7759	<u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , Orissa, India	YF
ln10	VET-U-Nlg-10	7761	<u>V</u> . <u>zizanioides</u> , Orissa, India	YF
NP	VET-CWDS-01	7764	V. zizanioides, Kathmandu, Nepal (lowlands)	?
NG	VET-ISV-AGA-	7766	V. nigritana (Benth.) Stapf, Lilongwe, Malawi, Africa	YL?
CF	VET-CFP-219579	7769	Chrysopogon fulvus (Spreng.) Chiov., Pakistan,	
			USDA PI 219579	YF
CG	VET-CGP-383762	7771	Chrysopogon gryllus (L.) Trin., Turkey, USDA PI 383762	
SH	VET-AW-01	8030	Sorghum halepense (L.) Pers. Texas, USA, commercial	YF
SB	VET-RPA-8031	8031	Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench., Texas, USA, commercial	YF

Table 3. Germplasm of high priority for maintenance and evaluation. Lab # Species Type Accession # Source Fertile? VET-PT-1A 7711 V. zizanioides cv. 'Monto', Australia, Queensland N+  $\mathsf{s}^\mathsf{A}$ VET-MR-VAL1 7722 V. zizanioides cv. 'Vallonia', South Africa Ν  $\mathsf{s}^\mathsf{A}$ 7742 V. zizanioides cv. 'Boucard', USA VET-GVB-001 N+  $\mathsf{S}^\mathsf{B}$ VET-MRL-001 7749 V. zizanioides cv. 'Sunshine', USA. Louisiana Ν  $S^{\mathsf{B}}$ 8029 V. zizanioides cv. 'Huffman', USA, Florida VET-MB-01 N+ SΒ VET-OSR-1-B 7961 V. zizanioides, Venezuela, Maracay (Carabobo) N+ S+B VET-IPA-MUIR-001 7989 V. zizanioides, Mozambique, Maputo ? S+B VET-LW-0001 8048 V. zizanioides cv. 'Capitol', USA, Louisiana Ν VET-TGAVC-002 8051 V. zizanioides cv. 'AVC', Spain, Murcia (Am. Vet. Co., Texas) N+ SLB VET-IMZ-AGA 7765 V. zizanioides, Malawi, Lilongwe ?! SLB VET-RN-001 7951 V. zizanioides, Sri Lanka, Colombo N+? SLB VET-JMJS-CH1 8001 V. zizanioides, Mexico, Oaxaca (Chiapas) N+? CRB VET-JM-PV1 8076 V. zizanioides? Costa Rica, Puerto Viejo N? Gr<sup>A</sup> VET-PT-1C 7713 V. zizanioides cv. 'Grafton', Australia, Queensland YL+ Gr<sup>B</sup> VET-SBR-AN-96/1 7996 V. zizanioides, Sri Lanka, Kandy ? G+B VET-JGN-0002 7778 V. zizanioides, USA, California (Philippines?) YL? KMB VET-TGKN-003 8052 V. zizanioides, cv. 'Karnataka', Spain, Murcia (Malaysia) N+ GВ VET-HP-02 7987 V. zizanioides, India, Uttar Pradesh, (USDA PI 554617, 'Carter') YL+ PΑ VET-RGG-PA-B 7720 Vetiveria sp.?, Panama, Western, site B (Costa Rica)? OB 7775 V. zizanioides, Malawi, Zomba (few seed heads) VET-SJC-2 OBVET-TGML-001 8050 V. zizanioides, cv. 'Malaysia', Spain, Murcia (Malaysia) N+ ı₋B∗ VET-TGSB-004 8053 V. zizanioides, cv. 'Sabah', Spain, Murcia (Malaysia) ?

I-B∗	VET-TGSBB-005	8054 V. zizanioides, cv. 'Sabak Buntar', Spain, Murcia	
_		(Malaysia)	?
$O_{\mathbf{R}}$	VET-TGPB-006	8055 V. zizanioides, cv. 'Parit Buntar', Spain, Murcia	
		(Malaysia)	N+

Table 4. Vetiver accessions used in this study. Those accessions marked with asterisk (\*) will be planted in test plots for evaluation when possible.

Code	Accession #	Lab #	Species	Source
*SS	VET-MRL-001	7749	V. zizanioides	cv. 'Sunshine', Louisiana, USA
*B1	VET-NS-001	8339	V. zizanioides,	Songkla 1, Thailand
*B2	VET-NS-002	8340	V. zizanioides,	Surat Thani, Thailand
*B3	VET-NS-003	8341	V. zizanioides,	Sri Lanka, via Thailand
B4	VET-NS-005	8342	V. nemoralis,	Kamphaeng Phet, Thailand
B5	VET-NS-006	8343	V. nemoralis,	Loei, Thailand
B6	VET-NS-007	8344	V. nemoralis,	Nakon Sawan, Thailand
B7	VET-NS-008	8345	V. nemoralis,	Prachuap Khiri Khan, Thailand
B8	VET-NS-009	8346	V. nemoralis,	Ratchaburi, Thailand
B9	VET-NS-010	8347	V. nemoralis,	Roi Et, Thailand
*ML	VET-TGML-001	8244	V. zizanioides,	cv. 'Malaysia', Malaysia via Spain
*KR	VET-TGKN-003	8246	V. zizanioides,	cv. 'Karnataka', Malaysia via Spain
SH	VET-TGSB-004	8247	V. zizanioides,	cv. 'Sabah', Malaysia via Spain
SB	VET-TGBB-005	8248	V. zizanioides,	cv. 'Sabak Buntar', Malaysia via Spai
PT	VET-TGPB-006	8249	V. zizanioides,	cv. 'Parit Buntar', Malaysia via Spain
*AV	VET-TGAVC-002	8245	V. zizanioides	cv. 'AVC', Am. Vet. Corp., via Spain
*HF	VET-MB-01	8029	V. zizanioides	cv. 'Huffman', Florida, USA
*CP	VET-LW-0001	8048	V. zizanioides	cv. 'Capitol', Louisiana, USA
*SL	VET-RN-001	7951	V. zizanioides,	Colombo, Sri Lanka
*MA	VET-IMZ-AGA	8349	V. zizanioides,	Lilongwe, Malawi
*CR	VET-JM-PV1	8076	V. zizanioides,	Puerto Viejo Costa Rica
*PB	VET-RGG-PA-B	7720	V. zizanioides,	western site B, Panama
*ZM	VET-SJC-2	7775	V. zizanioides,	Zomba, Malawi

Table 5. Comparison the DNA obtained from leaves ground in CTAB, ddwater or ethanol. The ddwater and ethanol grindings were further incubated in ddwater for 20 min., 37°C, before adding CTAB and incubating for 30 min., 60°C.

	Quality of DNA from leaves ground in:				
material	dd water	CTAB	Ethanol		
Fabaceae					
alfalfa, fresh		++	++		
alfalfa, silica dried		++	++		
<u>Cruciferae</u>					
broccoli, fresh		++	++		
broccoli, silica dried	+	++	++		
<u>Cupressaceae</u>					
juniper, fresh	+(-,24h)	++	++		
juniper, silica dried		++	++		
<u>Chenopodiaceae</u>					
spinach, fresh		++	++		
spinach, silica dried		+	++		
Poaceae (grasses)					
maize, fresh		++	++		
maize, silica dried	-	-	++		
sorghum, fresh		+	++		
sorghum, silica dried		-	++		
rice, fresh			++		
rice, silica dried		-	++		
vetiver, fresh			++		
vetiver, silica dried			++		
wheat, fresh		++	++		
wheat, silica dried		+	++		

DNA quality: ++= very good, molecular weight of 20-50kbp; += good, MW of 20-50 kpb, but some degraded DNA on gel ranging down to 200-300 bp. -= poor, essentially no DNA of MW 20-50 kbp, DNA smeared from 6kbp to 200-300 bp; --= degraded DNA, MW of only 200-300 bp.

## Figure Legends:

- **Fig. 1**. RAPD banding pattern for primer 268 for vetiver accessions. Lane 1 = pGEM markers, lane 2 = vetiver, Malawi. Lanes 3-27 have the 'Sunshine' pattern (see Table 1 for accessions used in lanes 3-27).
- **Fig. 2**. Minimum spanning network of fourteen <u>Vetiveria</u> accessions, two <u>Chrysopogon</u> species (<u>C. fulvus</u>, Cf; <u>C. gryllus</u>, Cg) and two Sorghum species (<u>S. bicolor</u>, Sb; <u>S. halepense</u>, Sh) using 222 RAPD bands. Note that all the vetiver taxa cluster together and that the two Chrysopogon species cluster loosely, but enter thru links (dashed lines) to vetiver taxa. The Sorghum taxa cluster separately to form a separate group.
- **Fig. 3.** Principal coordinate analysis of fourteen <u>Vetiveria</u> accessions (closed circles), two <u>Chrysopogon</u> species (<u>C. fulvus</u>, Cf; <u>C. gryllus</u>, Cg) and two Sorghum species (<u>S. bicolor</u>, Sb; <u>S. halepense</u>, Sh) using 222 RAPD bands. Also highlighted are vetiver from Haiti (SS, cv. 'Sunshine'), Nepal(NP), Panama (PB), <u>V. filipes</u> from Australia (FP), two forms of <u>V. elongata</u> from Australia (narrow leafed EN, broad leafed EB), V. fililpes, Australia (FA) and putative <u>V. nigritana</u> from Malawi (NG). The unlabeled OTUs in the lower left are <u>V. zizanioides</u> from the Ganges plain. The nearest neighbor similarities of the outlying taxa (Cf, Cg, Sb, Sh) to the central cluster are indicated by the dotted lines and the decimal numbers. The similarity between the two <u>Chrysopogon</u> species (Cf, Cg) is denoted by the .67 above the dashed line. See text for discussion.
- **Figure 4**. Principal coordinate analysis of fourteen <u>Vetiveria</u> OTUs using 197 RAPD bands. Open stars = vetiver from India; Closed star = vetiver from Bangladesh; Crossed circle = accession from Nepal; GR = vetiver cv. 'Grafton', Australia; SS = cv. 'Sunshine' from Haiti; PB = vetiver from Panama (PB);  $FP = \underline{V}$ . <u>filipes</u> from Australia; EN, EB = narrow and broad leafed forms of  $\underline{V}$ . <u>elongata</u> from Australia; NG = putative  $\underline{V}$ . <u>nigritana</u> from Malawi. The dotted lines indicate the most similar OTU to the outlying OTU, with the similarity denoted by the decimal numbers. See text for discussion.
- **Figure 5**. Principal coordinate analysis of nine <u>Vetiveria zizanioides</u> OTUs using 197 RAPD bands. GR = cv. 'Grafton', Australia; SS = cv. 'Sunshine' from Haiti; InP = India, Punjab (USDA PI 196257); In1, In2, In8 = India; NP = Nepal; BG = Bangladesh. The dotted lines indicate the most similar OTU to the outlying OTU, with the similarity denoted by the decimal numbers. See text for discussion.
- **Figure 6.** Minimum spanning network for 23 vetiver accessions based on 217 RAPD bands. See Table 4 of code identifications.
- **Figure 7**. Principal coordinate analysis of the 23 vetiver accessions. Note that accessions B4-B9 are all putative <u>V</u>. nemoralis from Thailand. See text for discussion. See Table 4 of code identifications.

**Figure 8**. PCO of 16, non-seedy vetivers. Note the divergence of the Malaysian accession (ML) and that the Thailand vetivers (B1-3) cluster well with Sunshine (SS). See Table 4 of code identifications

**Figure 9**. PCO of the 16, non-seedy vetivers mapped onto coordinates 1, 4, and 5. Notice the divergence of AV (American Vetiver Corp.) and KR (Karnataka, Malayasia) from the other vetivers. See Table 4 of code identifications

**Figure 10**. Effects of grinding buffer on DNA quality. C = CTAB used in grinding, W = ddwater used in grinding, E = ethanol used in grinding. All materials were dried in silica gel, 72 h, °C before extraction. Lane 1 and 14: lambda/HindIII markers, Lanes 2-4: maize, lanes 5-7: wheat; lanes 8-10: vetiver; lanes 11-13: sorghum.